

**From:** [LIVERMAN Alex](#)  
**To:** [ANDERSON Jim M](#); [MCCLINCY Matt](#); [Sean Sheldrake/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Richard Muza/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Peterson, Lance](#); [QuasebarthT@cdmsmith.com](#)  
**Cc:** [Kristine Koch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)  
**Subject:** Recontamination Evaluation meeting summary & strategy update  
**Date:** 11/01/2012 11:48 AM  
**Attachments:** [OSWER9285.6-08Risk management Feb2002.pdf](#)  
[Recrafted PH SC RE Strategy for DEQ & EPA discussion.draft.docx](#)  
[RE elements & timeline.docx](#)

---

Hello All.

Thanks again for your time and attention for our conference call on Mon, Oct 29th – the third installment in our most recent discussions toward finalizing our agreed upon approach to the recontamination evaluation portion of the CERCLA/JSCS process in Portland Harbor. As we discussed, I am sending a summary of the meeting and updated associated documents:

**MEETING SUMMARY:** Prior to the meeting I sent out and updated version of the Strategy (which included a recap of the Mar meeting and meeting goals), an RE Elements and Timeline “flowchart,” DEQ’s proposed Contents of a harborwide screening level RE, CDMs RE Framework ppt, and DEQ’s comments on it.

During the conference call, we spent some time recapping the Dec 2011 and Mar 2012 meetings and discussing what we had agreed to up to then. We went through all of the documents and got agreement on the timeline and DEQ RE contents. We also discussed relieving conflict around clarity for RPs on the data quantity and quality being requested for RE purposes, particularly at EA sites. We agreed that EPA was the lead, DEQ was support, and that more specificity was needed at the outset. It was resolved that this specificity would be included in SOWs for EA sites in post-ROD Consent Decrees. Considerations on RE direction and data specificity were further discussed as CDM led us through their ppt and we discussed DEQ’s preliminary comments and also more comments that arose during the presentation.

**UPDATES:** I have updated the Strategy (which now includes the DEQ RE content outline and input discussed on the call) and will finalize it after receiving any comments any of you may have. I am also including the timeline (which I will just keep as draft).

Finally, I am attaching a copy of the OSWER Directive I referenced in the strategy. I’m sure you’re all aware of it, but it may be useful to re-familiarize it so we are on the same page as to the strategy. I found it very helpful in framing critical points to consider and focus the process on...

**NEXT STEPS:** I don’t anticipate another call on RE in the near term. I’d appreciate being kept abreast of CDMs work on the framework. We can reconvene to discuss how we should make that available/do outreach, but I will wait to hear from CDM/EPA on the next steps there.

I’ll look for any comments/input you may have on the strategy, but intend to move along on the schedule to submit a draft RE within the DEQ Source Control Summary Report by next summer. I will send out a draft before then and set up discussion time as needed.

Thanks for coordinating on this and I look forward to continued collaboration as the disparate elements of the CERCLA process begin to get woven together toward completion of this long-evolving and important regional cleanup.

--Alex

L. Alexandra Liverman  
Portland Harbor Stormwater Coordinator  
Oregon Department of Environmental Quality  
Northwest Region Cleanup Program  
2020 SW 4th Avenue, Suite 400  
Portland, Oregon 97201

phone: 503 229-5080

fax: 503 229-6899

[liverman.alex@deq.state.or.us](mailto:liverman.alex@deq.state.or.us)